Passaic River CAG Activities for Review and Comment of Focused Feasibility Study and Proposed Plan on the Lower Eight Mile Cleanup As of 1/6/13

Planned CAG Outreach Activities

- Review needed fact sheets with EPA, determine which topics CAG will take on
- CAG would like a chance to review EPA fact sheets to help ensure they address key community questions
- Assist with translation support at public meetings
- Review and provide any warranted additions to EPA media list
- Review and provide any warranted additions to EPA list of community groups and local contacts
- Assist in getting the word out about the FFS and public meetings
- Identify and communicate important community reasons to get involved and provide input

Community Information Needed in Fact Sheets/Web Site

- Acronyms and key terms
- Introduction to Superfund and the cleanup process
- Overview of the General Passaic cleanup, history, what the cleanup will and will not address, role of the CPG, how cleanup will be funded
- Overview of sediment contamination
- Description of the cleanup options and pros and cons of the three different choices with a focus on local impacts, clarify why the CPG option is not an EPA option under consideration
- · Community involvement on the Passaic, how to get involved
- Good map with lower eight miles identified, overall project mile markers and towns and landmarks, showing roads and satellite image
- All fact sheets need to be accessible and well written, taking into account the low technical literacy of some communities and in appropriate translations

Suggested Format for EPA Public Meetings

- Basic presentation ensure that everyone gets all of the main information, this can be videotaped ahead of time as well for broader distribution
- Open House format to provide an opportunity for education, asking questions, staffed poster sessions
- Formal comment session at the end once opportunity for learning and questions is provided, clearly announce time limits in advance
- Provide opportunities for people to leave comments in other formats, such as on-line stations, comment forms, audio recorders
- Good fact sheets and information for folks to take home and share
- Translators for Spanish and Portuguese

Recommended Locations for possible EPA Public Meetings

- Kearney/Harrison—Kearney library or
- Newark/East Harrison--2 meetings at different times)
- Belleville—possibly Nereid Boat Club

Recommended Enhancements to EPA Public Meetings and Outreach

- Widespread communication and weather-related cancellation process
- Special attention on how to notify river property owners and those most impacted, perhaps postcards to specific addresses
- Provide a webinar or two for folks who can not make it to physical meetings
- Create a video summary of information presented at the public meetings to post on line
- Hold separate briefings for Elected officials as appropriate
- Ensure all local repositories are up to date
- Make direct section on the homepage of ourpassaic.org for the FFS to make it easy to find key information quickly
- · Ensure complete list of all media outlets

•

Technical Issues Important to the Community to Provide Meaningful Input

- Time for cleanup implementation, time to full recovery of River and end of fish advisories
- Loss of benthic organisms, crabs, fish, what are the impacts and why does this matter
- Monitoring and long-term stewardship, what will be tracked, how do we provide community assurance
- Restoration opportunities, impacts of the options on timing and effectiveness of different recovery actions including assumptions regarding natural recovery rates
- Ability to synchronize natural resource restoration plans with the cleanup activities
- Potential impacts on flooding
- Potential for recontamination from upstream sources
- Assumptions, mitigation, and potential impacts of sediment resuspension
- Life-cycle costs and risks, true-long implications of choices that leave significant contamination in place
- Where will the processing facility go, how long will it be there, how much land will be required—is it temporary or will it become a regional facility
- What sorts of treatment technology will be considered and how will final decisions be made if not articulated in the proposed plan
- How will disposal locations be determined if not articulated in the proposed plan
- Impacts on the local economy, local jobs, plans for additional Super JTI

activity

- Potential economic losses resulting from ongoing contamination
- Water access during construction
- Assumptions, plans and coordination with ship traffic if navigation lanes are dredged, and the overall potential impacts of this deeper dredging
- Assumptions, accuracy and relevant examples regarding dredging rates, and barge/pipeline usage,
- Potential impacts on project schedules due to bridge openings and the procedures and laws regarding operation and maintenance of bridges
- Human health risk from activities in addition to fish consumption
- Dredging vs. capping assumptions, long-term implications
- Environmental Justice analysis of the disposal options
- Community benefits agreements

Tentative Schedule

Terrialive Scriedure	T	
January 2014	 CAG Meeting 1/9/14 Present EPA model and modeling results COE presentation on restoration plans Review CAG issues and activities 	Review draft FFS, other available materials Deview granged plan final.
February 2014 Early February FFS/PP released?	 CAG Meeting 2/20/14 Presentation of the proposed plan Identify/discuss areas for CAG in-depth discussion and review Identify draft set of issues for CAG recommendations Begin CAG outreach 	 Review proposed plan, final FFS Create fact sheet on Alternatives based on the proposed plan Evaluate life-cycle impacts of alternatives Work with technical ctte to ID information needs for input
March 2014	 CAG Meeting 3/13 Receive additional explanation based on CAG questions on the proposed plan Discuss scope and focus of CAG recommendations Begin draft CAG recommendations Ongoing outreach 	 Assist CAG in answering key questions raised Present information to the CAG Review draft CAG input for technical accuracy
April 2014 End of 60 day comment period	 CAG Meeting 4/10 Receive additional explanation based on CAG questions on the proposed plan Refine draft CAG recommendations Ongoing outreach 	Review draft CAG input for technical accuracy
May 2014 End of 90 day comment period	CAG Meeting 5/8 TBD based on overall schedule and public comment period	TBD